

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

plication No. 10/828,509 April 20, 2004 Filing Date

Kishor J. Patel Inventor

Title : Digitally Controlled Modular Valve System

Examiner : Gerald A. Michalsky

Art Unit : 3753

Attorney Docket : KPT-32096(1) (19354.0001)

Confirmation No.: 4314 Customer No. : 022202

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

Mailing

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. 37 CFR 1.8(a) 37 CFR 1.10 as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No. with sufficient postage as first class mail Express Mail No. EV405077886US **Transmission**

☐ transmitted by facsimile to Fax No. 571-273-8300 addressed to Examiner Gerald A. Michalsky at the Patent and Trademark Office.

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

08/08/2005 BABRAHA1 00000089 232053 33 **Introductory Comments** begin on: page 2 of 33 **Amended Specification** begins on: page 3 of 33 Amended Claims begin on page 14 of 33 Remarks begin on: page 27 of 33 Conclusion begins on: page 32 of 33 **Extension of Time** begins on: page 33 of 33

Replacement Drawing Sheets are in: Attachment A (FIGS. 1-17)

New Drawing Sheet is in: Attachment B (FIGS. 18-20)

Industry Support Sheets are in: Attachment C

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

After careful review, Applicant hereby responds to a July 6, 2005 non-final Office Action regarding the above-referenced patent application. In view of this Response, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Applicant has **not** added any new matter with this Response and intends the scope of the invention and claims to be the same before and after this Response. Indeed, Applicant only offers this Response to clarify the invention for the Examiner and to assist the Examiner's understanding of the same. More specifically, Applicant has not intended this Response to effectuate a narrowing of the claims, foreclose techniques that are not reasonably foreseeable at this time, or effect the applicability and scope of the Doctrine of Equivalents.